

THE NEW YORK TIMES

November 30, 2004

EDITORIAL

## Free the Academic Drug Tests

Academic medical centers represent the top rung of medical research in this country and are widely thought to be impartial and independent. So it is disheartening to find them signing restrictive contracts with pharmaceutical companies that allow the companies to dictate what drug testing data can be openly discussed and published. The manufacturers of drugs and medical devices are already under increasing pressure to list all of their clinical trials and results in public databases. Now academic medical centers will need to clean up their own practices to help prevent suppression of information about the safety and efficacy of drugs.

The collaboration of academic institutions in industry practices that distort perceptions of important drugs was spelled out by Barry Meier in yesterday's Times (["Contracts Keep Drug Research Out of Reach"](#)). The drug and device industries annually funnel millions of dollars into many medical institutions to pay for clinical trials of their products. The hitch is that contract clauses typically give the company that finances the trials enormous sway over when, how or even if trial results are made public.

In an egregious example cited by Mr. Meier, medical school researchers who had conducted studies for the industry on the effects of antidepressants on children and adolescents urgently sought access to unpublished data to look for evidence that the drugs might increase the risk of suicide. Some drug companies refused to turn over data to the group or told researchers they could not discuss the findings of their part of a study with scientists who had not participated in that part.

By adroit use of contracts that Balkanized the testing process among multiple institutions, the drug companies ensured that only they had a clear view of the total body of evidence. Even so, the data-deprived academic researchers issued a report disputing evidence that the drugs increased suicidal tendencies, only to have the Food and Drug Administration, which had access to all of the relevant data, find that the risk was real for some depressed youngsters.

A few of the most prestigious medical centers have managed to negotiate contracts that give them the final say on publishing the results of multisite clinical trials, but a much broader approach is needed. With the industry on the run for its secretive practices, the time seems ripe to revive the notion of a standardized contract for all institutions that would ensure researchers' access to data and prompt publication of results.

FAIR USE NOTICE: This may contain copyrighted (© ) material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. Such material is made available for educational purposes, to advance understanding of human rights, democracy, scientific, moral, ethical, and social justice issues, etc. It is believed that this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in Title 17 U.S.C. section 107 of the US Copyright Law. This material is distributed without profit.